crystallization papers

Acta Crystallographica Section D Biological Crystallography

ISSN 0907-4449

Marco Nardini,^a Stefania Spanò,^b Claudia Cericola,^b Alessandra Pesce,^a Gianluca Damonte,^c Alberto Luini,^b Daniela Corda^b and Martino Bolognesi^a*

^a Department of Physics and INFM, University of Genova c/o Advanced Biotechnology Center, Largo Rosanna Benzi 10, 16132 Genova, Italy, **b** Department of Cell Biology and Oncology, Istituto di Ricerche Farmacologiche `Mario Negri', Consorzio Mario Negri Sud, 66030 Santa Maria Imbaro (Chieti), Italy, and ^cDepartment of Experimental Medicine, Biochemistry Section, Viale Benedetto XV 1, 16132 Genova, Italy

Correspondence e-mail: bolognes@fisica.unige.it

 \odot 2002 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Denmark - all rights reserved

Crystallization and preliminary X-ray diffraction analysis of brefeldin A-ADP ribosylated substrate (BARS)

Brefeldin A-ADP ribosylated substrate (BARS) is a newly discovered enzyme involved in membrane fission, catalyzing the formation of phosphatidic acid by transfer of an acyl group from acyl-CoA to lysophosphatidic acid. A truncated form of BARS, lacking the C-terminal segment expected to interact with the Golgi membrane, has been expressed in soluble form in Escherichia coli, purified and crystallized. BARS crystals diffract up to 2.5 Å resolution using synchrotron radiation and belong to space group $P6_222/P6_422$, with unit-cell parameters $a = b = 89.2$, $c = 162.6$ Å, $\alpha = \beta = 90$, $\gamma = 120^{\circ}$ and one molecule (39.5 kDa) per asymmetric unit. SeMet-substituted BARS has been crystallized under growth conditions very similar to those of the native protein.

Received 12 March 2002 Accepted 17 April 2002

1. Introduction

BARS (brefeldin A-ADP ribosylated substrate; a 50 kDa cytosolic protein) was initially identified as the substrate of brefeldin A (BFA) dependent ADP-ribosylation (De Matteis et al., 1994; Di Girolamo et al., 1995). BFA, a fungal toxin, was recognized as a potent inhibitor of membrane traffic, causing rapid tranformation of the Golgi stack into tubular structures. BARS was later shown to counteract the Golgi tubulation induced by BFA in permeabilized cells, indicating, together with other lines of evidence, its likely role as a regulator of the Golgi complex structure and functionality (Mironov et al., 1997; Spanò et al., 1999). Indeed, more recently, BARS was identified as an essential component of the Golgi tubule fission-inducing activity normally present in the cytosol (Weigert et al., 1999). When pure BARS is added to isolated Golgi membranes together with palmitoyl-CoA, it induces the formation of strongly constricted segments in Golgi tubules, referred to as fission intermediates. Constriction is then followed by fragmentation of the tubules. BARS fissioninducing activity is most likely to be related to the enzymatic conversion of lysophosphatidic acid into phosphatidic acid using acyl-CoA as acyl-group donor. Such activity leads to accumulation/localization of phosphatidic acid in the membrane, a process expected to promote a local deformation of the phospholipid bilayer, thus facilitating membrane fission. BARS lysophosphatidic acid acyl transferase activity was thus proposed to be essential in mediating fission of Golgi tubules, a crucial step in intracellular traffic (Weigert et al., 1999).

BARS shows a striking sequence identity (>75%) to members of the C-terminal binding protein (CtBP) family (CtBP1 and CtBP2, Spanò et al., 1999), which have been shown to act as transcription regulators (Schaeper et al., 1995; Turner & Crossley, 1998; Criqui-Filipe et al., 1999). Thus, BARS, alternatively referred to as CtBP3/BARS, might play a dual role, interconnecting entirely different cellular functions.

In view of a crystallographic investigation shedding first light on BARS structure and its activity towards membrane lipids, we present here the expression, purification, crystallization and preliminary X-ray diffraction analysis of the rat extra-membrane soluble BARS fragment (t-BARS, residues 1–350) in its native and selenomethionyl forms.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Expression and purification

t-BARS, bearing a poly-His tag at its N-terminus, was expressed in E. coli. The region of BARS cDNA coding for amino acids 1-350 (Spanò et al., 1999; Genbank accession number AF067795) was amplified by PCR with the following primers: 5'-GCTCATATGT-CAGGCGTCCGACCTC-3' (forward primer) and 5'-GCGTGATCACTAGTGGGTGGCA-GCTGTC-3' (reverse primer). It was then subcloned into a NdeI/BclI-digested pET11d-His vector generated from the pET11d vector (Novagen) by insertion of a sequence encoding the initiator Met, a Gly and six His residues just upstream of the NdeI restriction site (Nuoffer et al., 1994). The resulting pET11d-His-t-BARS plasmid was transformed in E. coli strain BL21(DE3)pLysS; the bacteria were grown in Luria-Bertani medium until they reached an OD_{600nm} of 0.6 and were induced by the addition of 0.4 mM IPTG for 2 h at 310 K. The protein was then purified on an Ni±NTA matrix (Quiagen) according to the manufacturer's instructions, with slight modification of the lysis, washing and elution buffers. The eluted protein was collected in vials containing EDTA (1 mM final concentration), concentrated in a Microcon device (Amicon) to 10 mg ml^{-1} and dialyzed twice against $1000 \times$ volumes of $5 \text{ }\mathrm{m}M$ Tris-HCl, $300 \text{ }\mathrm{m}M$ NaCl, 20% glycerol, 10 mM β -mercaptoethanol, 1 mM EDTA, 250 mM imidazole pH 8.0.

Selenomethionyl His-t-BARS was obtained by transforming pET11d-Hist-BARS plasmid in the $met^- E$. coli strain B834(DE3) (Ramakrishnan et al., 1993), growing bacteria in M9 minimal medium supplemented with amino acids and vitamins $(18.7 \text{ mM NH}_4Cl, 22 \text{ mM KH}_2PO_4, 48.8 \text{ mM}$ $Na₂HPO₄$, 2 mM $MgSO₄$, 0.1 mM $FeSO₄$, 0.4% glucose, 0.04 mg ml^{-1} of each amino acid except methionine, 0.04 mg ml^{-1} Se-L-Met and $1 \mu g \text{ ml}^{-1}$ of each of the following vitamins: riboflavin, niacinamide, pyridoxine monohydrochloride and thiamine). Expression, purification and concentration were performed as described for the methionyl protein. The incorporation of Se-l-Met was checked by mass-spectrometry analysis performed on a Kratos Compact MALDI 4 (Manchester, England) mass spectrometer, in a mass range including the expected molecular masses.

2.2. Crystal growth

Crystallization experiments on native t-BARS were performed using the hanging-

Figure 1

Crystal of recombinant t-BARS from rat grown under Earth gravity. The crystal dimensions are approximately $0.2 \times 0.1 \times 0.1$ mm.

drop vapour-diffusion method. Preliminary crystallization conditions were established using Hampton Research Crystal Screen kits I and II (Jancarik & Kim, 1991) at 294 K. Each drop was composed of equal volumes $(1.5 \mu l)$ of protein solution and reservoir solution. The protein concentration was 10 mg ml^{-1} before mixing. The most promising initial crystallization conditions were refined through variation of the protein concentration, precipitant, temperature, pH, drop and reservoir volume. Crystals of SeMet-substituted protein were subsequently grown by the hanging-drop vapour-diffusion method under similar conditions to the native protein.

Native t-BARS crystals were also grown under microgravity conditions on the International Space Station (ISS mission 6A, April 19-August 22, 2001) using a vapourdiffusion crystal-growth apparatus (highdensity protein crystal-growth system; HDPCG), stored in a modified commercial incubator/refrigerator module (CRIM-M) at 295 K, where crystallization experiments were activated one week after arrival on the ISS.

2.3. Data collection and processing

All diffraction data were collected from crystals cooled to 100 K in a cold nitrogen stream. Two full data sets from t-BARS crystals grown on Earth and on the ISS were collected at the XRD1 beamline of the Elettra synchrotron source, Trieste, Italy, using a MAR CCD detector. SeMetsubstituted t-BARS crystals were tested on the BM14 beamline at ESRF, Grenoble, France, using a MAR CCD detector. All data were processed using DENZO and SCALEPACK (Otwinowski & Minor, 1997) and programs from the CCP4 package (Collaborative Computational Program, Number 4, 1994).

3. Results and discussion

t-BARS, lacking 80 C-terminal residues, was expressed in $E.$ coli , purified and crystallized. The site delimiting the mostly hydrophobic C-terminal segment was estimated based on the sequence homology $(\sim 22\%$ residue identity) found between BARS and D-3-phosphoglycerate dehydrogenase (Schuller et al., 1995). The construct yielded a soluble truncated protein of 39.5 kDa (including the Met-Gly-His $_6$ N-terminal tag), suitable for crystallization purposes.

Small preliminary t-BARS crystals were obtained using reagents 32 and 33 from

Table 1

Data-collection statistics for t-BARS crystals.

Values in parentheses are for the highest resolution shell $(2.54 - 2.50 \text{ Å})$.

 \dagger $R_{\text{merge}} = \sum_h \sum_i |I_{hi} - \langle I_h \rangle| / \sum_h \sum_i I_{hi}.$

Hampton Research Crystal Screen kit II (Jancarik & Kim, 1991). After refinement, the best crystallization condition was established as $1.8-2.1 M$ ammonium formate, 100 mM HEPES buffer pH 7.5; crystals of bipyramidal shape and typical dimensions of $0.2 \times 0.1 \times 0.1$ mm grew in about one week (Fig. 1). The crystals were stored in a stabilizing solution containing $2.0-2.3$ M ammonium formate, 100 mM HEPES buffer pH 7.5 at room temperature and were transferred to the same solution supplemented with 30% (v/v) glycerol immediately prior to data collection at 100 K.

The crystals diffracted to 2.5 Å resolution using synchrotron radiation (XRD1 beamline, Elettra synchrotron, Trieste, Italy). Inspection of the diffraction pattern and systematic absences allowed the assignment of the t-BARS crystals to the hexagonal space group $P6₂22/P6₄22$, with unit-cell parameters $a = b = 89.2$, $c = 162.6$ Å (Table 1). Evaluation of the crystal-packing parameter (Matthews, 1968) indicates that the lattice can accommodate one molecule per asymmetric unit $(V_M = 2.37 \text{ Å}^3 \text{Da}^{-1}),$ with an estimated solvent content of 48%.

Improvement of the t-BARS crystal quality was attempted through crystallization under microgravity conditions on the ISS using the HDPCG apparatus. Out of 12 crystallization trials $(1.6-2.7 M$ ammonium formate in $0.1 M$ steps, 100 m HEPES buffer pH 7.5), three wells showed crystals, with one drop $(2.7 M$ ammonium formate, 100 mM HEPES buffer pH 7.5) providing crystals of size comparable to those grown on Earth. Microgravity-grown crystals diffract to 2.5 Å resolution using

synchrotron radiation (XRD1 beamline, Elettra synchrotron, Trieste, Italy) and are isomorphous with those grown in gravity (Table 1). Comparison of diffraction data collected under identical experimental setups on crystals of almost identical size $(0.2 \times 0.1 \times 0.1 \text{ mm})$ shows a modest decrease of mosaicity for the microgravity crystals. Although they do not diffract to higher resolution, they display slightly better $I/\sigma(I)$ and R_{merge} values in almost all resolution shells relative to Earth-grown crystals (Table 1).

Low sequence homology with proteins of known three-dimensional structure and the presence of seven Met residues suggested multiwavelength anomalous diffraction (MAD) on Se atoms as the method for t-BARS structure analysis. Expression and purification of the SeMet-substituted t-BARS were performed as described for the native protein. According to the massspectrometric analysis, the molecular mass of the SeMet-substituted t-BARS is 334 Da higher than that of native t-BARS, close to the expected value of 328 Da corresponding to seven $S \rightarrow Se$ atom substitutions.

Crystals of the SeMet-substituted protein could be grown under conditions similar to those of native t-BARS crystals, substituting the ammonium formate precipitant with sodium formate and adding 10 mM β -mercaptoethanol. A preliminary diffraction test using synchrotron radiation at the BM14 beamline, ESRF, Grenoble, France, showed that SeMet-substituted t-BARS crystals diffract to 3.2 Å resolution. They belong to space group $P6₂22/P6₄22$, with unit-cell parameters $a = b = 86.9$, $c = 163.0$ Å and one molecule per asymmetric unit.

The crystal structure determination of t-BARS based on MAD (SeMet) methods is currently under way.

We thank the staff of the XRD1 beamline at the ELETTRA synchrotron, Trieste, Italy and the staff of the BM14 beamline at the ESRF synchrotron, Grenoble, France for data-collection facilities and assistance. We are grateful to Dr Karen Moore at the University of Alabama in Birmingham for setting up the HDPCG system with t-BARS and for technical assistance. This work was supported by grants from the Italian Space Agency (IR/167/01), from MIUR L95/95 `Programma Biotecnologia', from the Italian Association for Cancer Research (AIRC, Milano, Italy) and the Italian National Research Council (CNR, Rome, Italy) Progetto Finalizzato `Biotecnologie' ctr.n. 01.00027.PF49 and 01.00716.PF49 and Progetto Speciale 'Genetica Molecolare'. SS is a recipient of an FIRC fellowship.

References

- Collaborative Computational Project, Number 4 (1994). Acta Cryst. D50, 760-763.
- Criqui-Filipe, P., Ducret, C., Maira, S. M. & Wasylyk, B. (1999). EMBO J. 18, 3392-3403.
- De Matteis, M. A., Di Girolamo, M., Colanzi, A., Pallas, M., Di Tullio, G., McDonald, L. J., Moss, J., Santini, G., Bannykh, S., Corda, D. & Luini, A. (1994). Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA, 91, 1114-1118.
- Di Girolamo, M., Silletta, M. G., De Matteis, M. A., Braca, A., Colanzi, A., Pawlak, D., Rasenick, M. M., Luini, A. & Corda, D. (1995). Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA, 92, 7065-7069.
- Jancarik, J. & Kim, S.-H. (1991). J. Appl. Cryst. 24, 409±411.
- Matthews, B. W. (1968). J. Mol. Biol. 33, 491-497.
- Mironov, A., Colanzi, A., Silletta, M. G., Fiucci, G., Flati, S., Fusella, A., Polishchuk, R., Mironov, A. Jr, Di Tullio, G., Weigert, R., Malhotra, V., Corda, D., De Matteis, M. A. & Luini, A. (1997). J. Cell Biol. 139, 1109-1118.
- Nuoffer, C., Davidson, H. W., Matteson, J., Meinkoth, J. & Balch, W. E. (1994). J. Cell Biol. 125, 225-237.
- Otwinowski, Z. & Minor, W. (1997). Methods Enzymol. 276, 307-326.
- Ramakrishnan, V. Finch, J. T. Graziano, V. Lee, P. L. & Sweet, R. M. (1993). Nature (London), 362, 219±223.
- Schaeper, U., Boyd, J. M., Verma, S., Uhlmann, E., Subramanian, T. & Chinnadurai, G. (1995). Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA, 92, 10467-10471.
- Schuller, D. J., Grant, G. A. & Banaszak, J. (1995). Nature Struct. Biol. 2, 69-76.
- Spanò, S., Silletta, M. G., Colanzi, A., Alberti, S., Fiucci, G., Valente, C., Fusella, A., Salmona, M., Mironov, A., Luini, A. & Corda, D. (1999). J. Biol. Chem. 274, 17705-17710.
- Turner, J. & Crossley, M. (1998). EMBO J. 17, 5129-5140.
- Weigert, R., Silletta, M. G., Spanò, S., Turacchio, G., Cericola, C., Colanzi, A., Senatore, S., Mancini, R., Polishchuk, E. V., Salmona, M., Facchiano, F., Burger, K. N. J., Mironov, A., Luini, A. & Corda, D. (1999). Nature (London), 402, 429±433.